

6th of March 2012

U.S. military comes out against WWII

In a stunning, full-page ad in the 5th March *Washington Post*, senior figures of the U.S. military have intervened against the present British-Obama drive for WWII. British stooges Obama and Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu—who is now in Washington meeting with Obama—intend to launch a war against Iran. Such a war would quickly escalate into a thermonuclear showdown with Russia and China, precisely as the British intend.

Russia and China oppose the British regime change agenda that has toppled the governments of Iraq and Libya and is now targeting Syria and Iran, because they recognise that they are the ultimate targets. Under British direction similar to the hold which British Prime Minister Tony Blair exercised on U.S. President George Bush, when Bush launched a war against Iraq under the fraudulent, British-concocted pretext that Iraq possessed WMD, Obama has moved massive U.S. thermonuclear firepower into the Middle East, in preparation for a U.S./British nuclear first strike against Russia and China, which would inevitably incinerate the entire globe.

Vladimir Putin's re-election this weekend as President of Russia has made such a British-designed, Iran-pivoted thermonuclear world war that much more likely: the British despise and fear Putin because he began to rebuild Russia's economic and military might during his first two terms as President of Russia (2000-2008), following the British-designed "shock therapy" looting of the former Soviet Union during the 1990s. The London-centred trans-Atlantic financial system has entered a terminal phase of collapse, which will leave Russia, China and Asia in general—which has resisted British policies of globalisation and green fascism—as the leading powers in the world.

The text of the *Washington Post* ad follows:

Mr. President: Say No to a War of Choice with Iran

"It is not prudent at this point to decide to attack Iran... I think that it would be premature to exclusively decide that the time for a military option was upon us... A strike at this time would be destabilizing and wouldn't achieve [Israel's] long-term objectives." -Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff General Martin Dempsey

"...the United States would obviously be blamed and we could possibly be the target of retaliation from Iran, striking our ships, striking our military bases. ... I think that the consequence could be that we would have an escalation that would take place that would not only involve many lives, but I think could consume the Middle East in a confrontation and a conflict that we would regret." -Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta

"A military solution, as far as I am concerned ... it will

bring together a divided nation. It will make them absolutely committed to obtaining nuclear weapons. And they will just go deeper and more covert. The only long-term solution in avoiding an Iranian nuclear weapons capability is for the Iranians to decide that it is not in their interest. Everything else is a short-term solution." -Former Secretary of Defense Robert Gates

"... what happens, if another preemptive attack by the West, the U.S. and Israel, they fire up the streets, and now we got problems. Just tell me how to deal with all that, okay? ... Because, eventually, if you follow this all the way down, eventually I'm putting boots on the ground somewhere. And like I tell my friends, if you like Iraq and Afghanistan, you'll love Iran." -Former CENTCOM Commander General Anthony Zinni (ret.)

"No strike, however effective, will be in and of itself decisive. ... We haven't had a contact with Iran since 1979. Even in the darkest days of the Cold War, we had contacts with the Soviet Union. We are not talking to Iran so we don't understand each other. If something happens it's virtually assured that there will be miscalculations—which

would be extremely dangerous in that part of the world." -Former Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff Admiral Michael Mullen (ret.)

Dear Mr. President,

The U.S. military is the most formidable military force on earth. But not every challenge has a military solution.

Unless we or an ally is attacked, war should be the option of last resort. Our brave servicemen and women expect you to exhaust all diplomatic and peaceful options before you send them into harm's way.

Preventing a nuclear armed Iran is rightfully your priority, and your red line. Fortunately, diplomacy has not been exhausted, and peaceful solutions are still possible.

Military action at this time is not only unnecessary, it is dangerous—for the United States and for Israel. We urge you to resist the pressure for a war of choice with Iran.

Sincerely,

Major General Paul Eaton (USA, Ret.)
 Tom Fingar, Fmr. Deputy Director of National Intelligence for Analysis
 Lt. General Robert G. Gard, Jr. (USA, Ret.)
 General Joseph Hoar (USMC, Ret.)
 Brigadier General John H. Johns, PhD (USA, Ret.)
 Major General Rudolph Ostovich III (USA, Ret.)
 Paul Pillar, Fmr. National Intelligence Officer for the Near East and South Asia
 Colonel Lawrence Wilkerson (USA, Ret.)